UAS applications in conservation of large whales: photoidentification, photogrammetry, and monitoring individual health

Michael Moore, US Marine Mammal Commission 4/7/17





Jen Jakush, FWC

John Durban, NOAA Cape Cod Bay, March 2016

### **Co-Authors**

- John Durban, Holly Fearnbach & Wayne Perryman (NOAA)
- Don LeRoi (Aerial Imaging Systems)
- Iain Kerr (Ocean Alliance)
- Amy Apprill & Carolyn Miller (WHOI)
- Mariano Sironi & Marcella Uhart (ICB)
- Robert Wallace (Wallace Ocean Systems)
- Zach Swaim and Doug Nowacek (Duke University)

## Support

- NOAA CINAR
- WHOI Ocean Life Institute
- WHOI Access to the Sea
- Dalio Explore Fund

## Permits

- NMFS 17355, 14097, 16163
- WHOI FAA 333 Exemption 12618
- NOAA Flight Authorization

# **Ongoing Goals**

- Assess impact of UAS in comparison to boat approaches, biopsy and tagging methods
- Enhanced photo identification and lesion documentation
- Entanglement Triage
- Serial photogrammetric measures of total body length and length to width ratios to measure growth and overall body condition.
- Blow samples to better understand the basis for any observed variation in body condition.

### **Unmanned Aerial Systems: APH-22**







### NMFS Permit 17355

'UAV noise coupled poorly into the water, and could only be quantified above background noise at 1 m depth when flying at altitudes of 5 and 10 m.'

Christiansen et al. Front. Mar. Sci., 26 December 2016

https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2016.00277

## PHOTO IDENTIFICATION

http://rwcatalog.neaq.org/Terms.aspx See #'s 1616, 2340, 2740, 3297, 3317, 3705





Potential for disentanglement triage, drug dose estimation, and targeting boat approaches





- Widths of *E. australis* thinned during lactation, while their calves' widths and width to-length ratios increased.
- Photogrammetric measurements of body width, particularly at 60% of body length from the snout, are an effective way to quantitatively and remotely assess nutritional condition of living right whales



Miller et al. MEPS (2012) 459: 135–156 doi: 10.3354/meps09675

# **Comparative condition**





*Figure 4.* Photogrammetry measurements of body length from rostrum tip to tail notch, and width at 40% of the body length from the rostrum, for 22 individual blue whales. Labels indicate a notably robust (a) and a lean (b) whale, that were outliers from the general trend (see Fig. 3 for photographs of these same whales). Closed circles indicate two presumed adult females, which were accompanied by the two smallest whales that appeared to be dependent calves. Durban et al – Marine Mammal Science (2016) 32:1510-1515

#### Noninvasive unmanned aerial vehicle provides estimates of the energetic cost of reproduction in humpback whales



### Christiansen et al. Ecosphere

<u>Volume 7, Issue 10, 5 OCT 2016 DOI: 10.1002/ecs2.1468</u>

http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/ecs2.1468/full#ecs21468-fig-

<u>0005</u>

# **Breath Sampling**

- Wide range of potential assays to consider hormones, metabolites, microbiome, cytology
- UAS less intrusive than boat/pole sampling
- Still optimizing UAS breath sampling
- 2016 & 2017 Samples from FL, MA & WA, Argentina and Auckland Islands currently under analysis for microbiome patterns







# Questions?

NMFS Permit 17355