Using autonomous platforms and near realtime acoustic monitoring to mitigate interactions between large whales and human activities

1

Mark Baumgartner Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution





1. Motivation

- 2. Enabling technology
- 3. Does the technology work?
- 4. Applications

Motivation



Autonomous platforms

- Cover wide range of spatial/temporal scales
- Oceanographic sensors
- Built-in real-time communications
- Comparatively inexpensive
- Quiet for archival/real-time passive acoustics









Motivation



Why real time?

- Immediate action required
 - Direct science operations
 - Mitigation of anthropogenic risks



- Recovery of platform is impractical
 - Expendable long-endurance platforms, such as profiling floats or ice-tethered platforms





1. Motivation

2. Enabling technology

3. Does the technology work?

4. Applications

Digital acoustic monitoring instrument





Developed at WHOI by Mark Johnson, Tom Hurst, and Alex Shorter

Detection and classification



Low-frequency detection and classification system (LFDCS)





Baumgartner, M.F. and S.E. Mussoline. 2011. A generalized baleen whale call detection and classification system. Journal of the Acoustical Society of America 129:2889-2902.

Detection and classification



Low-frequency detection and classification system (LFDCS)





Baumgartner, M.F. and S.E. Mussoline. 2011. A generalized baleen whale call detection and classification system. Journal of the Acoustical Society of America 129:2889-2902.

Detection and classification



Low-frequency detection and classification system (LFDCS)





Baumgartner, M.F. and S.E. Mussoline. 2011. A generalized baleen whale call detection and classification system. Journal of the Acoustical Society of America 129:2889-2902.

Operation



Transmit to shore:

- Subset of pitch tracks and classifications
- Background noise
- DMON/LFDCS status
- Platform location
- Platform status



dcs.whoi.edu

Profiling float

Moored Wave buoy glider

Slocum glider

Operation







Analyst reviews:

- Pitch tracks
- Classifications
- Context

Operation





Distribution



dcs.whoi.edu

Daily analyst review:				
Date	Sei whale	Fin whale	Right whale	Humpback whale
09/04/2015				
09/03/2015				
09/02/2015				
09/01/2015				
08/31/2015				
08/30/2015				
08/29/2015				
08/28/2015				

AIS (2018?)



Text message



Email message

Mark Baumgartner

To: undisclosed-recipients:;

Fin whales detected on the Nomans Land buoy

Time now: 12/13/16 12:00 EST

Fin whales detected on the Nomans Land buoy! Latest detections: 2.8 hours ago.

Fin whale detections: 12/12/16 18:09 EST (17.8 hr ago) 12/12/16 19:09 EST (16.8 hr ago) 12/12/16 20:09 EST (15.8 hr ago) 12/12/16 21:09 EST (14.8 hr ago) 12/12/16 23:09 EST (12.8 hr ago 12/13/16 00:09 EST (11.8 hr ago) 12/13/16 01:09 EST (10.8 hr ago) 12/13/16 02:09 EST (9.8 hr ago) 12/13/16 02:24 EST (9.6 hr ago) 12/13/16 03:09 EST (8.8 hr ago) 12/13/16 05:09 EST (6.8 hr ago) 12/13/16 06:09 EST (5.8 hr ago) 12/13/16 07:09 EST (4.8 hr ago) 12/13/16 08:09 EST (3.8 hr ago) 12/13/16 09:09 EST (2.8 hr ago)

See http://dcs.whoi.edu/nomans0916/noman

Whale Alert app (spring 2017)





1. Motivation

2. Enabling technology

3. Does the technology work?

4. Applications



Evaluation datasets

Moored buoy Noman's Land Island March 2015 - March 2016



Slocum glider Great South Channel April - July 2015







Near real-time analysis of pitch tracks



Audio analysis of archived recordings



		Missed	False	
	Number of	occurrence	occurrence	Accuracy
	days	(%)	(%)	(%)
<u>Slocum glider</u>				
Right whale	85	8.3	0.0	97.6
Humpback whale	95	23.3	0.0	77.9
Sei whale	86	28.8	5.1	80.2
Fin whale	104	21.3	0.0	81.7
<u>Moored buoy</u>				
Right whale	133	28.0	0.0	94.7
Humpback whale	119	32.7	0.0	84.9
Sei whale	124	38.8	0.0	84.7
Fin whale	148	10.5	3.1	90.5

Evaluation of near real-time estimates of daily whale occurrence Truth = analysis of recorded audio



		Missed	False	
	Number of	occurrence	occurrence	Accuracy
	days	(%)	(%)	(%)
<u>Slocum glider</u>				
Right whale	85	8.3	0.0	97.6
Humpback whale	95	23.3	0.0	77.9
Sei whale	86	28.8	5.1	80.2
Fin whale	104	21.3	0.0	81.7
<u>Moored buoy</u>				
Right whale	133	28.0	0.0	94.7
Humpback whale	119	32.7	0.0	84.9
Sei whale	124	38.8	0.0	84.7
Fin whale	148	10.5	3.1	90.5

Evaluation of near real-time estimates of daily whale occurrence Truth = analysis of recorded audio



		Missed	False	
	Number of	occurrence	occurrence	Accuracy
	days	(%)	(%)	(%)
<u>Slocum glider</u>				
Right whale	85	8.3	0.0	97.6
Humpback whale	95	23.3	0.0	77.9
Sei whale	86	28.8	5.1	80.2
Fin whale	104	21.3	0.0	81.7
<u>Moored buoy</u>				
Right whale	133	28.0	0.0	94.7
Humpback whale	119	32.7	0.0	84.9
Sei whale	124	38.8	0.0	84.7
Fin whale	148	10.5	3.1	90.5

Evaluation of near real-time estimates of daily whale occurrence Truth = analysis of recorded audio



		Missed	False	
	Number of	occurrence	occurrence	Accuracy
	days	(%)	(%)	(%)
<u>Slocum glider</u>				
Right whale	85	8.3	0.0	97.6
Humpback whale	95	23.3	0.0	77.9
Sei whale	86	28.8	5.1	80.2
Fin whale	104	21.3	0.0	81.7
<u>Moored buoy</u>				
Right whale	133	28.0	0.0	94.7
Humpback whale	119	32.7	0.0	84.9
Sei whale	124	38.8	0.0	84.7
Fin whale	148	10.5	3.1	90.5

Most missed calls occur during non-monitored periods – missed occurrence can be improved by increasing transmitted data



- Analyst estimates of species' presence are highly accurate
- Analyst can miss presence when species are actually present, but this can be greatly improved by transmitting more data via satellite in near real time
- Accuracy sufficient for monitoring and mitigation



1. Motivation

- 2. Enabling technology
- 3. Does the technology work?
- 4. Applications

Large-scale monitoring in the Gulf of Maine







North Atlantic right whale



Collaborators: Cara Hotchkin (NAVFAC Atlantic) Sofie Van Parijs, Peter Corkeron, and Tim Cole (NOAA NEFSC)

Monitoring a Coast Guard gunnery range



Collaborators: Tim Cole, Peter Corkeron, and Sofie Van Parijs (NOAA NEFSC) Andy Stokes (Coast Guard SE New England)

Monitoring Massachusetts Wind Energy Area





Collaborators: YT Lin (WHOI) and Sofie Van Parijs (NOAA NEFSC)

Monitoring shipping lanes in New York Bight



Multi-Function Node (MFN)



Collaborators: Howard Rosenbaum (WCS) Sofie Van Parijs (NOAA NEFSC)

Field work in 2016















- Developed system for passive acoustic recording and near real-time detection/classification of marine mammals from autonomous platforms
- System is very accurate, especially for right whales
- Operational for Slocum gliders and moored buoys
- Can be used for monitoring and mitigation

Engineers, collaborators, and funders



WHOI Engineers:

- Jim Partan, Keenan Ball, Tom Hurst
- Léo-Paul Pelletier
- Lee Freitag
- Ben Hodges
- John Kemp, Don Peters
- Kris Newhall, Jeff Pietro
- Mark Johnson (formerly WHOI)

Collaborators:

- Sofie Van Parijs (NOAA NEFSC)
- Cara Hotchkin (NAVFAC Atlantic)
- Kim Davies and Chris Taggart (Dalhousie)
- Peter Corkeron, Tim Cole (NOAA NEFSC)
- Howard Rosenbaum (WCS)
- Dave Fratantoni (formerly WHOI)

Analyst: Julianne Gurnee (NOAA NEFSC)

Funders:

